

Journal of Chromatography B, 738 (2000) 169–173

**JOURNAL OF CHROMATOGRAPHY B** 

www.elsevier.com/locate/chromb

Short communication

# Simple and sensitive high-performance liquid chromatographic method for the determination of mycophenolic acid in plasma

K. Na-Bangchang<sup>a, \*</sup>, O. Supasyndh<sup>b</sup>, T. Supaporn<sup>b</sup>, V. Banmairuroi<sup>a</sup>, J. Karbwang<sup>a</sup>

a *Clinical Pharmacology Unit*, *Faculty of Tropical Medicine*, *Mahidol University*, *Bangkok*, *Thailand* b *Department of Medicine*, *Pramongkutklao Medical College*, *Bangkok*, *Thailand*

Received 9 June 1999; received in revised form 28 September 1999; accepted 27 October 1999

## **Abstract**

A rapid, selective, sensitive, and reproducible reversed-phase HPLC procedure for the quantitative determination of mycophenolic acid (MPA) — an active plasma metabolite of the immunosuppressant mycophenolate mofetil (MMF) in plasma is described. The procedure involves one-step extraction of MPA and the internal standard, standard [*RS*-60461-000: (*E*)-6-[1,3-dihydro-4-(4-carboxy-butoxy)-6-methoxy-7-methyl-3-oxo-5-isobenzo-furanyl-4-methyl-4-hexenoic acid] with dichloromethane–dichloroethane (1:1, v/v) at acidic pH. Chromatographic separation consisted of the mobile phase [acetonitrile–0.05% phosphate buffer, pH 3.4 (45:55,  $v/v$ )] running through the column (Techopak-10 C<sub>18</sub>) at flow-rate of 0.8 ml/min. Detection was at UV wavelength of 254 nm. The mean recoveries of MPA and the internal standard at concentrations of 0.1 and 20  $\mu$ g/ml were 89–98%, and 90–96%, respectively. The within-day coefficients of variation for MPA were 0.3–7.8% and the day-to-day coefficients of variation were 1.1–2.0%. The minimum detectable concentrations for both MPA and the internal standard in plasma were  $0.005 \mu g/ml$ . The method was found to be suitable for use in clinical pharmacokinetic study.  $\circ$  2000 Elsevier Science B.V. All rights reserved.

*Keywords*: Mycophenolic acid

of the immunosuppressant — mycophenolic acid principally on the kinetics of MPA. Since MMF is at (MPA) has been approved for maintenance immuno- the moment, at a relatively early stage of the drug following solid organ transplantation. MPA is a characterization in recipients of kidney transplantapotent and specific inhibitor of de novo purine tion, in conjunction with pharmacodynamics (clinical synthesis and blocks proliferation of both T and B efficacy) are essential for optimization of drug lymphocytes [1–3]. Due to the rapid and extensive therapy. To support this pharmacokinetic investiga-

**1. Introduction** metabolism of MMF to the active plasma metabolite, pharmacokinetic investigation following the adminis-Mycophenolate mofetil (MMF), an ester prodrug tration of the prodrug — MMF has been based suppressive therapy of allogeneic graft rejection development process, the full pharmacokinetic tion, establishment of an appropriate analytical meth- \*Corresponding author. Fax: <sup>1</sup>66-644-4342. od (sensitive, selective, reproducible and simple) for *E*-*mail address*: tmknb@mucc.mahidol.ac.th (K. Na-Bangchang) quantification of MPA in biological fluids is essen-

<sup>0378-4347/00/\$ -</sup> see front matter © 2000 Elsevier Science B.V. All rights reserved. PII: S0378-4347(99)00487-9

tial. A high-performance liquid chromatographic 2.2. *Chromatography* (HPLC) method with solid-phase extraction has recently been described for MPA [4]. In the present The method was developed on a liquid chromato-

 $(1, 3$ -dihydro-4-hydroxy-6 - methoxy-7-methyl-3-oxo- phosphate buffer (pH 3.2)–acetonitrile (45:55, v/v), 5-isobenzofuranyl)-4-methyl-4-hexenoate] and the delivered at a flow-rate of 0.8 ml/min. The chrointernal standard (I.S.) [*RS*-60461-000: (*E*)-6-[1,3- matographic analysis was operated at ambient temdihydro-4-(4-carboxy-butoxy)-6-methoxy-7-methyl- perature. 3-oxo-5-isobenzo-furanyl-4-methyl-4-hexenoic acid] were obtained from Syntex (Technology Center, 2.3. *Sample extraction procedure* MA, USA) (Fig. 1). Stock solutions were prepared by dissolving MPA and the I.S. in absolute methanol. In order to minimize eventual drug adsorption,

report, we describe an alternative method in which graphic system consisting of a Model 600 solvent the extraction procedure is comparatively simpler, delivery system (Waters, MA, USA), a Waters 740 faster and cheaper. data module (Waters) equipped with a Rheodyne 7125 injector with a  $20-\mu l$  loop (Rheodyne, Berkeley, CA, USA), and a 486 variable-wavelength UV detector (Waters). The wavelength was set at 254 nm **2. Experimental** and sensitivity at 0.005 a.u.f.s.

The separation was carried out on a Techopak-10 2.1. *Chemicals* C<sub>18</sub> reversed-phase column (10 cm×4.6 mm I.D., 10 mm particle size; HPLC Technology, serial no. pp Standard powder of MPA [*RS*-5797-000: (*E*)-6- 45739). The mobile phase consisted of 0.05 *M*

The stock solution was then further diluted with 90% extraction was carried out in 15-ml screwcap glass methanol  $(v/v)$  to prepare spiking solutions of 0.5, 1, test tubes precoated with dimethyldichlorosilane in 2, 3, 5, 10, 25, 50 and 100  $\mu$ g/ml for MPA. Spiking toluene (5%, v/v). To a 0.5-ml plasma sample, was solution containing 10  $\mu$ g/ml of the I.S. was pre- added an I.S. (1  $\mu$ g), followed by vortex mixing for pared by further diluting the stock solution with 90% 30 s. Hydrochloric acid (0.01 *M*, 1 ml) was added methanol. All standards were stored at  $4^{\circ}$ C in glass and the mixture was vortex-mixed for 30 s. The vials. resultant mixture was extracted with 6 ml of di-Absolute methanol, dichloromethane, dichloro- chloromethane–dichloroethane (1:1, v/v) by meethane and acetonitrile were of HPLC grade (Fisons, chanical tumbling for 30 min. After centrifugation at Loughborough, UK). All other reagents and solvents 1500 *g* for 20 min ( $4^{\circ}$ C), the clear organic layer was were analytical grade quality, and were supplied by transferred to a clean tube using a pasteur pipette. BDH. Evaporation to dryness was by a stream of air at



Fig. 1. Chemical structures of (a) MPA and (b) the internal standard.

 $37^{\circ}$ C. The residue was dissolved in 100  $\mu$ l of mobile concentration was made on 5 different days. Coeffi-

Solutions of MPA in 90% methanol, ranging from that actually found. 0.1 to 100  $\mu$ g/ml were injected into the HPLC The stability of MPA and the I.S. was determined system in order to assess detector linearity. Peak by storing spiked plasma samples (concentrations: height was plotted against the quantity of MPA  $0.1, 1, 10, 20 \mu\text{g/ml})$  at room temperature (25°C) for injected. MPA was linear  $(r>0.999)$  in the con- 48 h, and at  $-80^{\circ}$  for 6 months. Concentrations centration range observed. were measured periodically (2 weeks, 1, 2, 4 and 6

Calibration curves were prepared by triplicate months). analysis of 0.5-ml plasma samples spiked with 0.2 The selectivity of the method was verified by ml each of the MPA working solutions to obtain the checking for interference by commonly coadminisconcentration range of 0.1–20 (0.1, 0.2, 0.4, 0.6, 1, tered drugs (cyclosporine, prednisone, methylpred-2, 5, 10, 20)  $\mu$ g/ml, with a fixed concentration of nisolone) after subjecting them to the extraction I.S. (1 µg). Samples were analyzed as described procedure. above, and the peak height ratios of MPA to the I.S. were plotted against the corresponding drug concentrations. Linear least-squares regression was per- 2.6. *Application of the method to biological* formed on the peak-height ratio vs. concentration *samples* data generated by the calibration standards, to construct a linear standard curve of MPA from peak In order to validate the assay method, the method height ratio $=m$  (concentration of MPA) $+b$ . Peak- was applied for the pharmacokinetic investigation of height ratios of the samples were determined and the MPA in a Thai male recipient of kidney transplantaconcentrations calculated from the standard curves. tion (aged 24 years, weighing 52 kg) following the

amounts of each compound in methanol. The con- at  $-80^{\circ}$ C until analysis. centrations used were 0.1, 1, and 20  $\mu$ g/ml. Pharmacokinetic analysis was carried out by

established using the same concentration range as above, but only a single determination of each was calculated by the trapezoidal rule.

phase, and 20  $\mu$ l was injected onto the column. cient of variation (C.V.) was calculated from the ratio of standard deviation (SD) to the mean.

Accuracy was determined by replicate analysis of 2.4. *Calibration curves* four different levels  $(0.1, 1, 10, 20 \mu g/ml)$  and comparing the differences between spiked value and

administration of a single oral dose of 1 g MMF (Hoffmann-La Roche, Basle, Switzerland; 250 mg 2.5. *Method recovery*, *precision*, *accuracy*, *limit of* per capsule). The study was approved by the Ethics *quantification*, *stability and selectivity* Committee of Pramongkutklao Medical College. Venous blood samples (5 ml each) were collected The analytical recoveries of the extraction pro- into sodium heparinized plastic tubes at 0 (pre-dose), cedure for both MPA and the I.S. were estimated by 20, 40, 75 min, and 2, 4, 6, 8 and 12 h after drug comparing the peak heights obtained from an ex- administration. Plasma samples were obtained tracted sample with those measured with equivalent through centrifugation  $(1500 g, 10 min)$ , and stored

The minimum detectable concentration was de-<br>model-independent method [5]. The maximum plasfined as a peak height that produces three times of ma concentration  $(C_{\text{max}})$  and the time to maximum baseline noise at 0.0005 a.u.f.s. baseline noise at 0.0005 a.u.f.s. concentration  $(t_{\text{max}})$  were observed values. The The precision of the method based on within-day terminal phase elimination rate constant  $(\lambda)$  was The precision of the method based on within-day terminal phase elimination rate constant  $(\lambda_z)$  was repeatability was determined by replicate analysis of determined by least squares regression analysis of determined by least squares regression analysis of five samples spiked with four different concentra- the post absorption and distribution plasma contions of MPA (0.1, 1, 10, 20  $\mu$ g/ml). The repro- centration–time data, and the terminal phase eliminaducibility (day-to-day variation) of the method was tion half-life ( $t_{1/2z}$ ) from the ratio 0.693/ $\lambda_z$ . The area established using the same concentration range as under the plasma concentration–time curve (AUC)

### **3. Results and discussion**

Several methods for quantification of MMF, MPA and the MPA glucuronide inactive metabolite (MPGA) have been reported. These include bioassay, thin-layer chromatography (TLC) [6], gas chromatography  $(GC)$  [7,8], and HPLC [4,9-11]. HPLC methods involve the methods applied for fermentation broth and biological fluids. The early method reported by Lee et al. [9] for determination of MPA in biological fluids requires a 5-ml sample volume to determine the plasma MPA concentration, and therefore is not suitable for application to pharmacokinetic studies. A selective and sensitive isocratic HPLC assay using a relatively small volume of samples was later developed by Sugioka et al. [10] for the determination of MMF, MPA and MPGA in rat plasma, bile and tissue homogenate, and human plasma. The detection limit for all compounds is 0.17  $\mu$ g/ml. Recently, a manual and an automated HPLC method for separate determination of plasma MMF, MPA and MPGA have been described [4,11]. The methods involve the quantification of MMF in plasma by solid-phase extraction using a 0.5-ml plasma sample. Both are reproducible and accurate, and are equivalent in all aspects, including quantification limits (MMF 0.4  $\mu$ g/ml, MPA 0.1  $\mu$ g/ml; MPAG 4  $\mu$ g/ml), precision and accuracy. Fig. 2. Chromatograms of extracts of (a) drug-free plasma (b)

tive and specific HPLC method using liquid-liquid<br>extraction. Chromatograms of extracts of drug-free<br>plasma, spiked plasma and plasma obtaining from a<br>plasma, spiked plasma and plasma obtaining from a<br>time 8.4 and 9.7 min, Thai male recipient of kidney transplantation at 2 h post-dosed with a single oral dose of MMF (1 g) are were below 10%. The intra-assay (within-day) and shown in Fig. 2. The I.S. and MPA were resolved inter-assay (day-to-day) variation for MPA at four with the retention times of 8.4 and 9.7 min, respec-<br>different concentrations are given in Table 1. plasma did not interfere with drug analysis. The  $\mu$ g/ml) were found to be stable when stored at room minimum detectable concentration, defined as a peak temperature ( $25^{\circ}$ C) for at least 28 h, and in a  $-20^{\circ}$ C three times baseline noise at 0.005 a.u.f.s. in a 0.5-ml freezer for at least 6 months without significant plasma sample was  $0.005 \mu g/ml$  for both MPA and decomposition of the drug. the I.S. Analytical recoveries were 89–98%, and To validate the clinical applicability of the meth-



In the present study, we describe a simple, sensi-<br>In spiked plasma (2  $\mu$ g MPA, 1  $\mu$ g I.S.) and (c) plasma obtained<br>In a Thai male recipient of kidney transplantation at 2 h after a

tively. Endogenous peaks from extracted drug-free Plasma samples containing MPA (0.1, 1, 10, 20

90–95% for MPA and I.S., respectively, at con- od, the pharmacokinetics of MPA was investigated in centration range of  $0.1-20 \mu g/ml$ . a Thai male recipient of kidney transplantation Calibration curves for MPA were linear over the following an oral administration of 1 g MMF. range of  $0.1-20 \mu g/ml$ , with correlation coefficient Plasma concentration–time profile is shown in Fig. of 0.9999 or better. Little variation in MPA assays 3. The profile is generally in agreement with those was observed; coefficients of variation in all cases reported in previous studies [1–3]. MPA was rapidly

Concentration added $(\mu g/ml)$	Concentration measured $mean \pm SD$ $(\mu g/ml)$	C.V. (% )	Difference between measured and added concentration (% )				
				Within-day variation			
				0.1	$0.11 \pm 0.002$	1.8	$-0.1$
	$1.02 \pm 0.03$	2.9	$+0.01$				
10	$10.8 \pm 0.09$	0.8	$-0.05$				
20	$19.95 \pm 0.06$	0.3	$-0.08$				
Day-to-day variation							
0.1	$0.13 \pm 0.009$	6.9	$+0.1$				
	$1.1 \pm 0.05$	4.5	$+0.09$				
10	$10.4 \pm 0.5$	4.8	$+0.18$				
20	$19.8 \pm 0.25$	1.3	$+0.12$				

Table 1 Precision and accuracy for MPA assay in plasma  $(n=5)$ 

absorbed from the gastrointestinal tract:  $C_{\text{max}}$  (9.56 addition, the extraction procedure is simpler, faster  $\mu$ g/ml) was attained at 1.25 h ( $t_{\text{max}}$ ) of dosing. and less expensive compared to the solid-phase Plasma concentrations were measurable up to 12 h. cartridge extraction previously described. AUC and  $t_{1/2z}$  were 33.9  $\mu$ g·h/ml and 19.1 h, respectively. Further investigation for detailed pharmacokinetics of MPA in Thai recipients of kidney **Acknowledgements** transplantation is required for dose optimization.

In conclusion, the analytical method for the de- We thank Dr. Auemporn Srigritsanapol, Roche criteria for application to routine clinical drug level Pramongkutklao Hospital for their assistance. monitoring or pharmacokinetic study. The advantages of the method over previously reported ones



Fig. 3. Plasma concentration–time profile of MPA in a Thai male recipient of kidney transplantation following a single oral dose of 1 g MMF.

are basically, its simplicity and high sensitivity. In

termination of MPA presented in this paper meets the Ltd, Bangkok, Thailand, and staff of Medicine ward,

### **References**

- [1] A.C. Allison, E.M. Eugui, Clin. Transpl. 7 (1993) 96.
- [2] R.E. Morris, J. Heart Lung Transpl. 12 (1993) S275.
- [3] C.J. Young, H.W. Sollinger, Transplant Proc. 26 (1994) 3144.
- [4] I. Tsina, M. Kaloostian, R. Lee, T. Tarnowski, B. Wong, J. Chromatogr. B. 681 (1996) 347.
- [5] M. Gibaldi., Biopharmaceutical and Clinical Pharmacokinetics, Lea and Febriger, Philadelphia, PA, 1989.
- [6] T. Noto, Y. Harada, K. Koyama, J. Antibiot. 23 (1970) 96.
- [7] R.J. Bopp, R.E. Schirmer, D.B. Meyers, J. Pharm. Sci. 61 (1972) 1750.
- [8] F.E. Gainer, H.J. Wesselman, J. Pharm. Sci. 59 (1990) 1157.
- [9] W.A. Lee, L. Gu, A.R. Miksztal, N. Chu, K. Leung, P.H. Nelson, Pharm. Res. 7 (1990) 161.
- [10] N. Sugioka, H. Odani, T. Ohta, H. Kishimoto, T. Yasumura, K. Takada, J. Chromatogr. B 654 (1994) 249.
- [11] I. Tsina, F. Chu, K. Hama, M. Kaloostian, Y.L. Tam, T. Tarnowski, B. Wang, J. Chromatogr. B 675 (1996) 119.